Closing Foundry.

Why Enterprise Sales Is Won Before the Proposal

Enterprise deals aren't won in the proposal.

Written by
Charles Talbot
Founder

Reviewed by
Laurie Mascott
Senior Operating Partner

Published 15 Apr 2026 Read time 10 min read

Key Points

- Top enterprise performers are 3x more likely to involve multiple internal groups on a deal, and their internal network size directly predicts sales results (Bain)
- Multi-threaded deals above £50,000 have a 130% higher win rate than single-threaded ones (Gong)
- Challenger-profile reps make up 40% of top performers in complex sales, versus only 7% of bottom performers (CEB/Gartner)
- Deals with a Mutual Action Plan achieve a 26% higher win rate and close faster on average (Outreach.io)
- 53% of customer loyalty in B2B is driven by the sales experience, specifically the rep's ability to provide unique insight (HBR)
- Attaching an executive sponsor to an enterprise deal increases win probability by 4.5x (Gartner)
- Organisations with strong pipeline management practices achieve 33% higher revenue growth (PwC)

Enterprise deals aren't won in the proposal. By the time the deck goes to the buying committee, the outcome is already largely determined by the relationships built before it, the stakeholders engaged or missed, the internal champions developed, and the insight offered at the right moment to the right person. The proposal is documentation of a decision that was already taking shape. Teams that treat enterprise sales as a process of building toward a pitch are building toward a 50/50 shot, at best.

The illusion of the champion relationship

Most enterprise deals that lose don't lose because the product was wrong. They lose because the rep was building a relationship with the wrong person, or the right person in isolation. Enterprise buying committees typically involve 6 to 10 stakeholders. Each has a functional perspective, informal influence, and the ability to delay or derail a decision. A single champion, however enthusiastic, can't override a sceptical finance lead, a risk-averse IT security team, or a procurement officer who has never heard of the vendor.

Gong's analysis of enterprise deals above £50,000 found that multi-threaded opportunities had a 130% higher win rate than single-threaded ones. That figure isn't a quirk of sampling. It reflects the structural reality of enterprise procurement: decisions are made by consensus, consensus requires agreement from multiple parties, and agreement from multiple parties requires that multiple parties have been properly engaged.

Reps who rely on a single champion are exposed to deal risk, and they're also producing a structural outcome: the kind that looks like a strong pipeline right up until Q4 when multiple "likely" deals push into the next year because the champion couldn't get everyone aligned.

Orchestration is the core skill

Bain's research on enterprise sales performance identified the clearest differentiator between top performers and the rest: top performers were 3 times more likely to regularly involve multiple groups inside their own organisation on a deal. Solutions engineers, product specialists, legal, finance, executives: pulled in deliberately, at the right moment, for a specific purpose. They were also twice as likely to collaborate with peer reps on shared accounts.

The correlation between internal network size and sales performance was among the strongest findings in Bain's analysis. The point is the enterprise rep functioning as the general manager of the account, knowing what expertise is needed when, deploying it effectively, and ensuring the client's experience of the vendor is coherent and credible across every interaction.

Average performers try to own the deal end to end. They take the technical questions back to the client rather than bringing a solutions engineer in. They handle the legal conversation rather than introducing someone who can give it proper weight. They're protective of the relationship and end up producing a weaker result from it. The top performers understand that their job isn't to know everything. It's to make sure the client always has access to whoever knows what they need.

Externally, the same principle applies. The most effective enterprise reps don't just orchestrate internally. They also orchestrate the buyer's side of the deal. They introduce their CTO to the client's CTO. They create the conditions for an executive sponsor to engage at the right level. They run meetings that involve multiple stakeholders on both sides and structure those meetings around specific outcomes, not general updates.

Account planning isn't optional above a threshold

Bain's research found that top enterprise reps arrived at client meetings significantly better prepared than their peers. They were briefed on the account history and prepared with a tailored point of view on where the client could be in twelve months, what was blocking them, and what the seller's organisation could specifically do about it. They had often pre-enlisted internal experts to join calls, so that when an opportunity emerged in conversation, they could address it credibly rather than promising to follow up.

The result was what Bain described as "richer conversations": engagements that opened up broader commercial territory, identified expansion opportunities the client hadn't articulated, and built the kind of trust that makes a vendor the default choice for future decisions as well as the current one.

Account planning formalises this preparation. It isn't a quarterly slide deck exercise. It's a documented view of the account's strategic situation, buying committee map, current relationship status, competitive position, expansion potential, and the specific actions required to progress the engagement. Done well, it's the difference between a rep who manages a client and a rep who grows one.

McKinsey's work on enterprise account management supports the directional conclusion: organisations using detailed account plans and value hypotheses for enterprise deals improve win rates and grow account revenue faster than those that don't. The discipline of writing down what you know, and what you don't yet know, about an account is a forcing function that improves both preparation and execution.

Mutual Action Plans close enterprise deals faster

Outreach.io's analysis of B2B deal data found that opportunities where the account executive deployed a Mutual Action Plan achieved a 26% higher win rate than those without one. Enterprise deals with MAPs also closed faster, because the sequential bottlenecks that typically slow large deals, including legal review, security assessment, procurement process, and executive sign-off, were anticipated and planned for rather than discovered at the end of the cycle when timelines are already stretched.

In enterprise sales, a MAP serves a function beyond project management. It's a qualification signal, a relationship indicator, and a momentum mechanism simultaneously. Buyers who won't engage with a shared plan are buyers who aren't seriously evaluating. Buyers who co-create the plan with you are demonstrating commitment and internal credibility: they're willing to put their organisation's name on a set of milestones.

The MAP also gives the rep visibility into the buyer's internal process that they would otherwise not have. Enterprise procurement involves steps the rep isn't present for: internal reviews, committee meetings, competitive comparisons. A MAP creates the structure for the buyer to keep the rep informed of those steps rather than leaving them guessing. Revenue.io research found that enterprise deals with a mutual close plan closed approximately 10% faster on average, because legal, security, and commercial steps weren't left to the last few weeks.

Enterprise sales teams that make MAPs standard practice, mandatory for any deal above a defined value or complexity threshold, see the benefit compound over time. Reps become better at identifying deal risk early. Managers can coach on specific milestones rather than vague status updates. Forecast accuracy improves because there is real evidence of progress rather than optimistic interpretation of signals.

The research on insight selling is unusually clear

CEB's landmark study of 800 B2B sales representatives identified five distinct seller profiles. In straightforward sales environments, the profiles performed similarly. In complex, multi-stakeholder enterprise sales, one profile dominated: the Challenger. Challengers made up 40% of top performers in complex sales. They represented only 7% of bottom performers.

The behaviours defining the Challenger profile are specific. They educate customers on problems or opportunities the buyer hadn't fully articulated. They tailor their commercial insight to the individual stakeholder's situation. They constructively push the customer's thinking instead of validating it. And they drive toward a decision rather than deferring to the buyer's stated timeline.

HBR research into B2B customer loyalty found that 53% of customer loyalty was driven by the sales experience, specifically the seller's ability to provide unique insight and help the buyer navigate their alternatives. That finding sits alongside a competitive reality: enterprise buyers have more information than ever, but more confusion than ever about what that information means for their specific situation. The rep who can cut through the noise and give the buyer a clear, evidence-backed point of view on their problem earns trust that features and pricing can't replicate.

The point is doing the preparation required to have a genuinely informed opinion about the buyer's situation, and being willing to share it, even when it involves challenging an assumption the buyer has come in with. The reps who do this consistently are the ones enterprise buyers remember and return to.

Manager behaviours in enterprise are categorically different

The role of the front-line manager in enterprise sales is strategic coaching, not activity monitoring. In SMB, a manager can look at call volume, response times, and pipeline progression and coach on the trends they see. In enterprise, the deals are fewer, the cycles are longer, and the coaching has to go much deeper.

Sales Executive Council research found that the most effective sales managers in enterprise contexts spend up to 60 to 70% of their time coaching, and a significant portion of that is opportunity-specific: reviewing stakeholder maps, stress-testing deal qualification, planning negotiation strategy, identifying when and how to bring in an executive sponsor. The teams of those managers outperform others significantly on revenue growth.

Gartner data on executive sponsorship deserves particular attention: attaching an executive sponsor to an enterprise deal increases win probability by 4.5 times. That isn't a sales technique. It's a resource-allocation decision the manager makes or doesn't make. The manager who reviews a

£500,000 deal stalled at the committee stage and decides to deploy the VP of Sales for a single executive meeting is making a decision that changes the outcome. The manager who waits for the rep to figure it out loses the deal.

Pipeline management in enterprise also operates on different logic. Deals can sit in late stages for extended periods. The discipline required isn't speed of movement but integrity of qualification: ensuring that what is forecast is real, that stage progression reflects genuine buyer commitment rather than rep optimism, and that stalled deals are actively addressed rather than carried indefinitely. PwC research found that organisations with strong pipeline management practices achieved 33% higher revenue growth than those with weak pipeline discipline. In enterprise, that gap is amplified: one deal rescued or one deal accurately removed from forecast can be the difference between a quarter hit and a quarter missed.

The compound effect of doing this right

Enterprise sales performance doesn't improve linearly. When these behaviours compound, including thorough account planning, deep multi-threading, Mutual Action Plans, insight-led engagement, deliberate internal orchestration, and rigorous manager coaching, the results aren't additive. They're multiplicative. A well-planned deal that involves multiple stakeholders, uses a MAP, and has an executive sponsor attached isn't slightly more likely to close. It's categorically better positioned than the deal where one of those elements is missing.

Bain identified something instructive in its performance research: in the best enterprise sales teams, there is a virtuous cycle of coaching between managers and reps, between senior reps and juniors, and between reps and their clients. Top performers didn't wait for their manager to bring them insight. They sought it out. They asked for coaching on deals. They borrowed from peers who had closed similar engagements. They treated every deal as a team effort.

That culture doesn't emerge spontaneously. It's the product of management behaviour, process design, and expectation-setting that happens from the top down. The revenue leader who builds that environment builds the conditions for sustained enterprise performance as well as individual deal outcomes.

If you're leading an enterprise revenue function and want to build the deal management infrastructure, coaching rhythms, and methodology to make this consistent, **our approach** is designed for that. It brings together the process, management framework, and qualification discipline that enterprise teams need to perform at scale. Learn more about our approach.

Further reading

Enterprise Sales: What Changes When You Move Upmarket The structural differences in enterprise buying that demand a different approach from mid-market.

7 Steps to Win Buying Group Consensus and Cut Deal Slippage How to engage enterprise buying committees in a way that builds alignment before the proposal arrives.

MEDDPICC, Explained: A Practical Guide for Founders and Sales Leaders The qualification standard that keeps enterprise deals honest and forecast-ready at every stage.

From Gut Feel to Ground Truth: Operationalising Sales Forecast Accuracy How the enterprise behaviours in this guide connect to pipeline integrity and forecast reliability.

Forecast accuracy: the founder's discipline (and how to reach ±10%) Why enterprise pipeline management requires a different standard of evidence than instinct alone.

Related terms

- AE (Account Executive): The quota-carrying sales rep responsible for closing new business.
- Mutual Action Plan: A shared timeline agreed with the buyer that maps steps to a decision date.
- Forecast Accuracy: The degree to which predicted revenue matches actual closed revenue.
- Executive Sponsor: A senior executive who backs a deal internally and accelerates the buying process.
- Buying Committee: The group of stakeholders involved in evaluating and approving a B2B purchase.
- Multi-Threading: Engaging multiple stakeholders at a prospect to reduce single-point-of-failure risk.
- Deal Stall: When a deal stops progressing and the buyer goes quiet or delays decisions.
- Our approach: Closing Foundry's operating system for B2B revenue execution.

Related service: Live Deal Coaching
Source: https://www.closingfoundry.com/insights/why-enterprise-sales-is-won-before-the-proposal